NOV 1 0 2003

Øocket No.: Z&PINFN10309

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O.

Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: November 6, 2003

UNITED STATES IN THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applic. No.

10/616,396

Applicant

Matthias Goldbach et al.

Filed

July 9, 2003

Art Unit

to be assigned

Examiner

to be assigned

Docket No.

Z&PINFN10309

Customer No. :

24131

LETTER

Hon. Commissioner for Patents

Sir:

Enclosed please find a copy of the English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report for the above-identified application. Please enter it into the file.

Respectfully submitted.

For Applicants

Date: November 6, 2003

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.

Post Office Box 2480

Hollywood, FL 33022-2480

Tel: (954) 925-1100 Fax: (954) 925-1101

/bmb

LAURENCE A. GREENBERG REG. NO. 29.308

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 72.2)

=rom the	INTERNA	TIONAL	BUREAU
----------	---------	--------	--------

To:

GINZEL, Christian Postfach 330 920 80069 München Germany

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 06 October 2003 (06.10.03)	·
Applicant's or agent's file reference INF-P10309WO	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/EP02/00102	International filing date (day/month/year) 08 January 2002 (08.01.02)
Applicant INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG	et al

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation made by the International Bureau of the international preliminary examination report established by the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following elected Offices requiring such translation:

JP,KR,US

The following elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

EΡ

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report.

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Richard FORAX (Fax: 338 89 75)
Facsimile No. (41-22) 338.89.75	Telephone No. (41-22) 338 8199

Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference INF-P10309WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION		ionofFransmittalofInternational Preliminary Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/EP02/00102	International filing date (day) n 08 January 2002 (08	-	Priority date (day month year) 09 January 2001 (09.01.01)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n H01L 21/8242	ational classification and IPC			
Applicant	INFINEON TECHNOLO	OGIES AG		
and is transmitted to the applicant ac	ecording to Article 36.		ntional Preliminary Examining Authority	
amended and are the basis for	ed by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of	the descriptioning rectificat	n. claims and/or drawings which have been ions made before this Authority (see Rule	
These annexes consist of a tot	These annexes consist of a total of5 sheets.			
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: I				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report		
08 August 2002 (08.08	.02)	28 A	April 2003 (28.04.2003)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authori	zed officer		
Facsimile No.	Telepho	one No.		

International application No.

PCT/EP02/00102

	of the report	
I. With	regard to the elements of the international application:*	
\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed	
\boxtimes	the description:	
	pages 1-53	. as originally filed
	pages	filed with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of	
∇	the claims:	
لكا	The state of the s	
		as originally filed
	Page 1	
	pages 1-31 . filed with the letter of 08 A	. filed with the demand
		prii 2003 (08.04.2003)
	the drawings:	
	pages 1/21-21/21	. as originally filed
	pages	. filed with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of	
	he sequence listing part of the description:	
	pages	as originally filed
	pages	
	pages	
These	ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item, elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b) the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examina or 55.3). regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	tion (under Rule 55.2 and/
	contained in the international application in written form.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyo international application as filed has been furnished.	and the disclosure in the
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the wibeen furnished.	ritten sequence listing has
	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	the description, pages	
	the claims. Nos.	
	the drawings, sheets/fig	
	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they he beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	ave been considered to go
Replac	ement sheets which have been turnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain	Article 14 are referred to amendments (Rule 70.16
	placement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item I and annexed to this	report
		r eprint to

International application No.
PCT/EP 02/00102

NO

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-31	YES	
		Claims		NO	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	29	YES	
		Claims	1-28, 30, 31	NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-31	YES	

- 2. Citations and explanations
 - This report makes reference to the following documents:

Claims

- D1: US-A-5 658 816 (RAJEEVAKUMAR THEKKEMADATHIL VE)
 19 August 1997 (1997-08-19)
- D2: WO-A-00/67326 (RISCH LOTHAR; WIDMANN DIETRICH (DE); ENDERS GERHARD (US); ILG MATT) 9 November 2000 (2000-11-09)
- D3: US-A-5 406 515 (RAJEEVAKUMAR THEKKEMADATHIL V)
 11 April 1995 (1995-04-11)
- D4: EP-A-0 903 782 (SIEMENS AG) 24 March 1999 (1999-03-24)
- D5: US-B-6 190 9881 (HORAK DAVID ET AL) 20 February 2001 (2001-02-20).
- 2. The amendments submitted with the letter of 8 April 2003 introduce substantive matter which, contrary to PCT Article 34(2)(b), goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed. The amendments concerned are as follows: in step (b) of present Claim 1 the sub-step according to which the semiconductor substrate is etched anisotropically is left out. As a result the subject matter of Claim 1 goes beyond the disclosure in the international

application as filed. Claim 1 is therefore not admitted.

- 3. The application does not satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 6 because Claims 1-31 are not clear.
- 3.1 The order of the method steps in Claims 1-31 is not clear.
- 3.2 The expression "second liner" in Claims 27, 28, 30 and 31 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature concerned. As a result, the subject matter of these claims is not clearly defined (PCT Article 6).
- 3.3 The expression in Claim 19 "low dielectric constant" is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature concerned. As a result, the subject matter of this claim is not clearly defined (PCT Article 6).
- 4. The present application does not satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 33(3) because the subject matter of Claims 1-28, 30 and 31 does not involve an inventive step, insofar as these claims are understood correctly (see unclear points referred to above).
- 4.1 The subject matter of Claim 1 (the order of the method steps being understood in the sense of the description and the figures) differs from the disclosure in D1 (see Figures 1-6 and corresponding text; columns 2-3) in that the surfaces of the lower trench regions are doped after production of the lower trench regions. This is merely an alternative

way of producing the lower electrode of the capacitor, which is well known to a person skilled in the art.

The subject matter of Claim 1 therefore does not involve an inventive step.

- 4.2 The additional technical features of Claims 2-10, 13-28, 30 and 31 are likewise known from D1 (see Figures 1-6 and corresponding text; columns 2-3).
- 4.3 The additional technical feature of Claim 11 is no more than a routine measure. A person skilled in the art would consider it straightforward to incorporate this feature into the method disclosed in D1 which in view of the content of the present application and the prior art is unlikely to present any unexpected difficulties or to have any unexpected effects.
- 4.4 As regards Claim 12, the formation of mesopores for obtaining a higher capacity is already known (see, for example, D4, Figure 2, and corresponding text).
- 5. The applicant is reminded that the content of EP applications belonging to the patent family of document D5 would be prejudicial to the novelty of the subject matter of Claim 1 (EPC Article 54(3)).
- 6. For the sake of completeness, the applicant's attention is drawn to the following:
- 6.1 Independent Claim 1 has not been drafted in the twopart form defined by PCT Rule 6.3(b). However, the two-part form would appear to be appropriate in this

International application No. PCT/EP 02/00102

case. Accordingly, the features known in combination from the prior art should be set out in a preamble (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(i)) and the remaining features should be specified in a characterizing part (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(ii)).

- 6.2 Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite documents D1-D4 or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.
- 6.3 The features of the claims are not followed by reference signs placed between parentheses (PCT Rule 6.2(b)).